

LR 125  
January 26, 1973

this bill, but before the testimony was over we had several county sheriff's from the state of Nebraska, come and testify in that same room that same day that they were against the bill. You get my point. Some of these resolutions are made, they do not consult the, there isn't a poll taken.

SENATOR STROMER: My point Senator Nore is maybe there is not the complete unanimity that you suggested there was.

SENATOR NORE: And I would also like to say that too often in answer to probably concerning Senator Anderson, that too often here we think that a piece of legislation doesn't cost the state anything, it's good, but we forget, I remember one time a certain Senator had a bill and he rose on this floor and said now this bill doesn't cost the state anything, but it had quite a fiscal impact to it. Well I said it's going to cost somebody and finally in a very low voice he said, well the counties will pick it up.

SPEAKER: Senator Stromer.

SENATOR STROMER: Yes, I would just like to add one additional comment that has been raised concerning the cost of this particular bill. The fiscal analyst office and the department of Motor Vehicles were the two departments that had gotten together to figure out the cost of this particular bill. Last year there was some conflict in that area, this year there has been none, these particular problems have been worked out and I should also mention that we have set down and worked this last fall with some of the county treasurers, some from small counties who were not necessarily in favor of this bill, and some from large counties who were in favor of this kind of legislation, and so we have I think, maybe try to put some things together and as far as the fiscal note I think that it is accurate, I think that all the various funds, in, like have been taken into consideration in figuring out this fiscal note, and as we have seen here, just the other day or just this week, that when we appropriate funds for a particular program and as long as that department is giving us that information that it is what it's going to cost, then if they are going to ear a deficit they must come back and ask, and I think that if that's true that if these costs were not accurate then granted we probably do not want this program. But I think these costs for the, what we have done in putting together all the various people who were, some who were opposed to this bill last year, I think these costs are accurate and I think what we have here in the fiscal note which would be included in the fiscal note in which they could not go beyond, I think it speaks for itself.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion of Senator Waldron's motion to indefinitely postpone? Senator Waldron do you care to close on your motion? Senator Nore would you turn off your light please. Thank you. Senator Waldron is closing on his motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR WALDRON: Mr. President, members of the legislature, it has been pointed out by some of my distinguished colleagues that this bill will supposedly stop the bad check writers and the people who fraud certain merchants and as a result it will then eventually benefit the consumer, and testimony was made, was indicated that testimony was given to the legislative committee to this effect. Well let me ask you, it was not stated here on the floor, but has any, did those people who testified, did they show you how much percentage now is because of bad, of using false drivers license, or someone else's drivers license to cash a check? Did they show you what percentage that is of